

Differential Equations for Sine-Gordon Correlation Functions at the Free Fermion Point

Denis Bernard¹

Service de Physique Théorique, CEN-Saclay²
F-91191 Gif sur Yvette, France

André LeClair

Newman Laboratory
Cornell University
Ithaca, NY 14853

We demonstrate that for the sine-Gordon theory at the free fermion point, the 2-point correlation functions of the fields $\exp(i\alpha\Phi)$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$ can be parameterized in terms of a solution to a sinh-Gordon-like equation. This result is derived by summing over intermediate multiparticle states and using the form factors to express this as a Fredholm determinant. The proof of the differential equations relies on a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ graded multiplication law satisfied by the integral operators of the Fredholm determinant. Using this methodology, we give a new proof of the differential equations which govern the spin and disorder field correlators in the Ising model.

2/97

¹ Member of CNRS.

² Laboratoire de la Direction des sciences de la matière du Commissariat à l'énergie atomique.

1. Introduction

It is well-known that for certain integrable quantum field theories in 2 dimensions, non-trivial correlation functions can be parameterized by solutions of non-linear partial differential equations³. The first result of this nature was obtained by Wu et. al.[2], where it was shown that the 2 point correlation functions of spin and disorder fields can be parameterized in terms of a solution to the Painlevé III equation. Subsequently, this result was obtained in a variety of ways[3][4]. Miwa, Jimbo and Sato obtained the result starting from the braiding relations of the spin fields with the free fermion fields. In [4], using the form factors, the sum over intermediate multiparticle states was related to tau-functions satisfying known differential equations. The two latter derivations are not unrelated, since in [3] the form-factors are implicit in the expressions for the spin fields in terms of the fermions.

Differential equations for correlation functions in other models which possess free fermion points were derived by Its et. al.[5][6]. In this approach, the Bethe ansatz is used to express the correlation function as a Fredholm determinant, and general techniques were developed for obtaining differential equations from this representation.

In this paper, we derive differential equations that characterize the non-trivial correlation functions of the sine-Gordon theory at the free fermion point (SG_{ff}). The method we use is a kind of hybrid of the methods discussed above. Namely, using the form factors of the fields, we express the sum over intermediate multiparticle states as a Fredholm determinant. The type of kernels we obtain differ from the ones considered in [5][6]. Nevertheless, we find that the methods developed there can be generalized to deal with the relativistic kernels which generically arise from the form factor sum. Indeed, using this methodology, we also give a new proof of the differential equations for the Ising theory. It should be pointed out that Fredholm determinant expressions in the SG_{ff} theory were also obtained in [7], however the latter determinants have a different origin than the form factor sum, namely they originate from the Bethe ansatz, and do not coincide with our expressions.

³ This manuscript is a corrected version of our work which has appeared in Nucl. Phys. B426 (1994) 534. Previously, we had not found the most general solution to the differential equations (3.37), but rather projected onto a solution which is valid only for $\alpha = \alpha'$. The only changes in this new version are at the end of section 3, where the general solution for $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ are obtained. We thank S. Lukyanov for drawing our attention to a possible error. Recently, similar results were obtained by H. Widom using different methods[1].

In [8] the correlation functions of fields with non-trivial monodromy with charged free fermion fields (as in eq. 2.14 below) were studied. Since such fields are essentially completely characterized by this monodromy, one can interpret the work [8] as dealing with the sine-Gordon theory, and the results on differential equations presented below are implicit in [8]. The methods we use are different and perhaps more direct. Generalization of the methods employed in [8] to the n -point functions is discussed in [9]

The SG_{ff} theory is perhaps the simplest relativistic quantum field theory where all of the integrability structures have been developed, such as the Lax pair, classical and quantum monodromy matrix, quantum inverse scattering, etc., and furthermore has non-trivial correlation functions of the basic fields on which these structures are based. This is to be contrasted with the situation in Ising, where even a lagrangian involving the spin fields is unavailable. For this reason, we believe our SG_{ff} results may be instrumental for investigations beyond the free fermion point.

The result we derive is simply described. The sine-Gordon theory is described by the Euclidean action

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2z \left(\partial_z \Phi \partial_{\bar{z}} \Phi + \lambda \cos(\hat{\beta} \Phi) \right), \quad (1.1)$$

where $z = (t + ix)/2$, $\bar{z} = (t - ix)/2$. The free fermion point occurs at $\hat{\beta} = 1$ [10]. Consider the correlation functions

$$\langle 0 | : e^{i\alpha\Phi(z, \bar{z})} : : e^{i\alpha'\Phi(0)} : | 0 \rangle \equiv \exp \Sigma(z, \bar{z}), \quad (1.2)$$

where $0 < \alpha, \alpha' < 1$. Since the bosonization relations are $: \exp(\pm i\Phi) := \psi_{\pm} \bar{\psi}_{\mp}$, where $\psi_{\pm}, \bar{\psi}_{\pm}$ are the free massive Dirac fermions, the fields $: \exp(i\alpha\Phi) :$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$ are not simply expressed in terms of the fermions, and thus have non-trivial correlation functions. We show that these correlation functions can be parameterized by a solution $\varphi(r)$ of a differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r} \partial_r \right) \Sigma(r) &= \frac{m^2}{2} (1 - \cosh 2\varphi) \\ \left(\partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r} \partial_r \right) \varphi &= \frac{m^2}{2} \sinh 2\varphi + \frac{4(\alpha - \alpha')^2}{r^2} \tanh \varphi (1 - \tanh^2 \varphi), \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where m is the mass of the free particles, and $r^2 = 4z\bar{z}$. We will also show that the result(1.3) continues to be true if one replaces the vacua in (1.2) with multiparticle states. The equivalence of the differential equations (1.3) and the Painlevé ones is well known. (See e.g. [11].)

As discussed below, when $\alpha, \alpha' = \pm 1/2$, the SG_{ff} correlation functions can be related to squares of Ising correlation functions[12][13]. Our results are obtained entirely within the SG_{ff} theory and do not use this connection with Ising².

2. Correlation Functions as Fredholm Determinants

2.1 Form Factors

The particle spectrum of the SG_{ff} theory consists of free solitons with topological $U(1)$ charge $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, where the solitons are identified as the free charged fermions. The N -particle states will be denoted as

$$|\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N\rangle_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_N}, \quad (2.1)$$

where θ_i (ε_i) is the rapidity ($U(1)$ charge) of the i -th particle, $E_i = m \cosh \theta_i$, $P_i = m \sinh \theta_i$. Inner products are normalized as follows:

$$\varepsilon' \langle \theta' | \theta \rangle_\varepsilon = \delta_\varepsilon^{\varepsilon'} \delta(\theta - \theta'). \quad (2.2)$$

One then has the following resolution of the identity:

$$1 = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\theta_1 \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\theta_N |\theta_1 \dots \theta_N\rangle_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_N}^{\varepsilon_N \dots \varepsilon_1} \langle \theta_N \dots \theta_1|. \quad (2.3)$$

Consider now the quantum fields σ_α ,

$$\sigma_\alpha(z, \bar{z}) \equiv : e^{i\alpha\Phi(z, \bar{z})} : \quad (2.4)$$

where Φ is the SG field with the normalization implicit in the action (1.1). These fields are local, Lorentz spinless, and $U(1)$ neutral. They have the hermiticity property:

$$(\sigma_\alpha)^\dagger = \sigma_{-\alpha}. \quad (2.5)$$

In terms of the $U(1)$ charged fermion fields $\psi_\pm, \bar{\psi}_\pm$, the bosonization relations are $:\exp(i\Phi) := \psi_+ \bar{\psi}_- : , \exp(-i\Phi) := \bar{\psi}_+ \psi_-$. Thus, we limit ourselves to the range $0 < \alpha < 1$. Fields outside this range can be obtained through operator products with fermion bilinears:

$$\sigma_{\alpha \pm 1} \sim : \psi_\pm \bar{\psi}_\mp \sigma_\alpha : \quad (2.6)$$

The form factors of these fields are defined as

$$F_\alpha(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N)_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_N} \equiv \langle 0 | \sigma_\alpha(0) | \theta_1 \dots \theta_N \rangle_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_N}. \quad (2.7)$$

Since the fields σ_α are $U(1)$ neutral, the form factors are non-vanishing only for $U(1)$ neutral states. Explicit expressions for the form factors are as follows. For convenience define

$$f_\alpha(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{2n}) = F_\alpha(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{2n})_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_{2n}}, \quad \varepsilon_i = -\varepsilon_{i+n} = -1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.8)$$

It is also helpful to define the momentum space variable

$$u \equiv \exp(\theta). \quad (2.9)$$

Then one has

$$f_\alpha(\theta_1 \dots \theta_{2n}) = (c_\alpha m)^{\alpha^2} (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \left(\frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{i\pi} \right)^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (u_i)^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} (u_{i+n})^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} \right) \times \Delta(u_1, \dots, u_{2n}), \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\Delta(u_1, \dots, u_{2n}) = \frac{\prod_{i < j \leq n} (u_i - u_j) \prod_{n+1 \leq i < j} (u_i - u_j)}{\prod_{r=1}^n \prod_{s=n+1}^{2n} (u_r + u_s)}. \quad (2.11)$$

The factor $(c_\alpha m)^{\alpha^2}$ is included based on our knowledge of the scaling dimension of the field σ_α , which is the same as in the massless limit. The dimensionless constants c_α can be fixed from knowledge of the 1-point functions:

$$\langle 0 | \sigma_\alpha(0) | 0 \rangle = (c_\alpha m)^{\alpha^2}. \quad (2.12)$$

We henceforth set $c_\alpha m = 1$.

The form factors for other assignments of the charges ε_i are trivially related to f_α by the relation

$$F_\alpha(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_i, \theta_{i+1}, \dots, \theta_N)_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_{i+1} \dots \varepsilon_N} = -F_\alpha(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{i+1}, \theta_i, \dots, \theta_N)_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_{i+1} \varepsilon_i \dots \varepsilon_N}. \quad (2.13)$$

The above form factors can be derived in a variety of ways. They were first obtained implicitly by Schroer and Truong[13] by first expressing the SG field as a non-local fermion bilinear using $\partial_z \Phi = \psi_+ \psi_-$, $\partial_{\bar{z}} \Phi = -\bar{\psi}_+ \bar{\psi}_-$, and then normal ordering the expressions $\exp(i\alpha\Phi)$ with respect to the fermions. For $\alpha = \pm 1/2$, these form factors were also obtained by Smirnov using the bootstrap axioms[14]. In appendix A, we give an independent derivation of the form factors based on the monodromy relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\alpha(x, t) \psi_\pm(y, t) &= \psi_\pm(y, t) \sigma_\alpha(x, t) & \text{for } y < x \\ &= e^{\pm 2\pi i \alpha} \psi_\pm(y, t) \sigma_\alpha(x, t) & \text{for } y > x \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

The latter derivation is an extension of techniques developed by Jimbo, Miwa, and Sato for the Ising theory[3]. Finally, we mention that the form factors can also be derived using vertex operator techniques in radial quantization [15].

We now describe some of the main properties of the above form factors. The hermiticity relation (2.5) necessarily implies

$$F_\alpha(\theta_1 \cdots \theta_N)_{\varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_N} = F_{-\alpha}(\theta_N \cdots \theta_1)_{\varepsilon_N^* \cdots \varepsilon_1^*}, \quad (2.15)$$

where $\varepsilon^* = -\varepsilon$. The expression (2.10) is primarily characterized by the residue property:

$$2\pi i f_\alpha(\theta_1 \cdots \theta_n, \theta_n + i\pi + \gamma, \theta_{n+2} \cdots \theta_{2n}) \sim \frac{(1 - e^{2\pi i \alpha})}{\gamma} f_\alpha(\theta_1 \cdots \theta_{n-1}, \theta_{n+2}, \cdots \theta_{2n}). \quad (2.16)$$

The above relation is a generalization of the residue bootstrap axiom for form factors. As explained in [16], the additional phase $\exp(2\pi i \alpha)$ is a consequence of the monodromy (2.14).

2.2 Fredholm Determinants

Consider first the 2-point correlation functions. Inserting the resolution of the identity one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \sigma_\alpha(z, \bar{z}) \sigma_{\alpha'}(0) | 0 \rangle &= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N} \int d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_N \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \exp(-mz u_i - m\bar{z} u_i^{-1}) \right) \\ &\quad \times F_\alpha(\theta_1 \cdots \theta_N)_{\varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_N} F_{\alpha'}(\theta_N \cdots \theta_1)_{\varepsilon_N^* \cdots \varepsilon_1^*} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \int d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_{2n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2n} \exp(-mz u_i - m\bar{z} u_i^{-1}) \right) \\ &\quad \times f_\alpha(\theta_1 \cdots \theta_{2n}) f_{\alpha'}(\theta_{2n} \cdots \theta_1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

In the second equation, we have set $N = 2n$, and summed over the $\binom{2n}{n}$ possible permutations of $U(1)$ charges.

We now show how these correlation functions may be expressed as a Fredholm determinant:

$$\langle 0 | \sigma_\alpha(z, \bar{z}) \sigma_{\alpha'}(0) | 0 \rangle = \text{Det}(1 + \mathbf{K}^{(\alpha, \alpha')}). \quad (2.18)$$

Above, $\mathbf{K}^{(\alpha, \alpha')}$ is a 2×2 matrix of Fredholm integral operators:

$$\mathbf{K}(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} K_{--}(u, v) & K_{-+}(u, v) \\ K_{+-}(u, v) & K_{++}(u, v) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.19)$$

For simplicity of notation, we will not always display the α, α' (and $z, \bar{z}$) dependence of the kernel $\mathbf{K}$. The basic Fredholm theory of scalar integral operators can be easily extended to finite matrices of integral operators. One obtains

$$\text{Det}(1 + \mathbf{K}) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_N} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{du_1}{u_1} \dots \frac{du_N}{u_N} \det\{K_{\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j}(u_i, u_j)\}, \quad (2.20)$$

where $\det\{K_{\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j}(u_i, u_j)\}$ is an ordinary determinant of the finite $N \times N$ matrix with $K_{\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j}(u_i, u_j)$ as entries:

$$\det\{K_{\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j}(u_i, u_j)\} = \begin{vmatrix} K_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_1}(u_1, u_1) & K_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}(u_1, u_2) & \dots & K_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_N}(u_1, u_N) \\ K_{\varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}(u_2, u_1) & \cdot & \dots & K_{\varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_N}(u_2, u_N) \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ K_{\varepsilon_N \varepsilon_1}(u_N, u_1) & \cdot & \dots & K_{\varepsilon_N \varepsilon_N}(u_N, u_N) \end{vmatrix}. \quad (2.21)$$

With the appropriate choice of kernel $\mathbf{K}$, the expression (2.20) coincides with the form factor sum (2.17). The result is

$$\begin{aligned} K_{++}(u, v) &= K_{--}(u, v) = 0 \\ K_{-+}(u, v) &= \frac{e(u)\widehat{e}(v)}{u+v} \\ K_{+-}(u, v) &= \frac{\widehat{e}(u)e(v)}{u+v} \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} e(u) &= \left(\frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} u^{(1+\alpha'-\alpha)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2}(zu + \bar{z}u^{-1})\right) \\ \widehat{e}(u) &= \left(\frac{\sin(\pi\alpha')}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} u^{(1+\alpha-\alpha')/2} \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2}(zu + \bar{z}u^{-1})\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

The above choice of kernel is not the unique one that reproduces the expression (2.17). However, viewing (2.22) as an ansatz, then the choice of $e, \widehat{e}$ is unique up to constants.

The result (2.22)(2.23) is proven as follows. Note first that the condition $K_{++} = K_{--} = 0$ automatically projects the sum over $U(1)$ charges ε_i in (2.20) onto neutral states. Let

$$\{K_{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j}(u_i, u_j)\} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varepsilon_i = -\varepsilon_{i+n} = -1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2.24)$$

so that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} K_{-+}(u_1, v_1) & \dots & K_{-+}(u_1, v_n) \\ K_{-+}(u_2, v_1) & \dots & K_{-+}(u_2, v_n) \\ \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ K_{-+}(u_n, v_1) & \dots & K_{-+}(u_n, v_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} K_{+-}(v_1, u_1) & \cdots & K_{+-}(v_1, u_n) \\ K_{+-}(v_2, u_1) & \cdots & K_{+-}(v_2, u_n) \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ K_{+-}(v_n, u_1) & \cdots & K_{+-}(v_n, u_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

where we have defined $v_i \equiv u_{i+n}$. Using the Cauchy identity,

$$\det \left(\frac{1}{u_i + v_j} \right) = \Delta(u_1 \cdots u_{2n}), \quad (2.25)$$

we write the determinant of $\{K_{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j}(u_i, u_j)\}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \det\{K_{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j}(u_i, u_j)\} &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^n \det A \det B \\ &= (-1)^n \Delta(u_1 \cdots u_{2n})^2 \prod_{i=1}^n (e(u_i) \widehat{e}(v_i))^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Comparing (2.17) and (2.20), and using the explicit expressions (2.10) of the form factors, one sees that to prove eq. (2.18) we need to satisfy the relation

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^n (e(u_i) \widehat{e}(v_i))^2 &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left((u_i)^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} (v_i)^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} (u_i)^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha'} (v_i)^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha'} \right) \\ &\times \left(\exp(-mz(u_i + v_i) - m\bar{z}(u_i^{-1} + v_i^{-1})) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

The functions $e(u), \widehat{e}(u)$ given in (2.23) were easily chosen to satisfy (2.27).

Consider now a correlation function of the kind

$$\langle \Psi' | \sigma_\alpha(z, \bar{z}) \sigma_{\alpha'}(0, 0) | \Psi \rangle, \quad (2.28)$$

where $|\Psi\rangle$ and $\langle \Psi'|$ are two $U(1)$ -neutral eigenstates of the Hamiltonian; i.e they are pure multi-particle states $|\Psi\rangle = |\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N\rangle_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N}$ with $\sum_i \varepsilon_i = 0$, and similarly for $|\Psi'\rangle$. The correlation function (2.28) can be computed using the crossing properties and the factorized expression (2.10) of the form factors. One has :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi' | \sigma_\alpha(z, \bar{z}) \sigma_{\alpha'}(0, 0) | \Psi \rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \int d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_{2n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2n} \exp(-mz u_i - m\bar{z} u_i^{-1}) \right) \\ &\times f_\alpha(\theta_1 \cdots \theta_{2n}) f_{\alpha'}(\theta_{2n} \cdots \theta_1) \prod_{i=1}^{2n} f_{\alpha; \alpha'}(\theta_i; \Psi; \Psi'), \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

where $f_{\alpha;\alpha'}(\theta; \Psi; \Psi')$ is a complicated function depending on the rapidities β and β' . Since the extra dependence on Ψ and Ψ' is factorized into the product $\prod_i f_{\alpha;\alpha'}(\theta_i; \Psi; \Psi')$, it can be absorbed into a redefinition of the functions $e(u)$ and $\widehat{e}(u)$. Thus one still has

$$\langle \Psi' | \sigma_\alpha(z, \bar{z}) \sigma_{\alpha'}(0, 0) | \Psi \rangle = \text{Det} (1 + \mathbf{K}_{\alpha, \alpha'; \Psi, \Psi'}), \quad (2.30)$$

where $\mathbf{K}$ is still of the form (2.22), but with more complicated functions e , $\widehat{e}$. However, their $(z, \bar{z})$ dependence are identical. This is the origin of the fact that all these correlations satisfy the same differential equation.

2.3 Relation to Ising²

Since the Ising model is equivalent to a free real Majorana fermion, whereas the SG_{ff} theory is a free complex Dirac fermion, at the lagrangian level, the SG_{ff} theory is two copies of the Ising theory. It is well known that the above observation is somewhat naive for a number of reasons. For example, in the massless limit, the Dirac and Ising² theories differ in their detailed partition functions on the torus[17]. For our purposes, the limitation of the identification of SG_{ff} with Ising² is that only correlation functions of certain special fields in the SG_{ff} theory are related to Ising² correlation functions. Let $\sigma(z, \bar{z})$ and $\mu(z, \bar{z})$ denote the Ising spin and disorder fields respectively. In [12][13] the following identifications were made:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \sigma(z, \bar{z}) \sigma(0) | 0 \rangle^2 &= \langle 0 | \sin(\Phi(z, \bar{z})/2) \sin(\Phi(0)/2) | 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0 | \mu(z, \bar{z}) \mu(0) | 0 \rangle^2 &= \langle 0 | \cos(\Phi(z, \bar{z})/2) \cos(\Phi(0)/2) | 0 \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

where on the RHS are SG_{ff} correlation functions. In this section, we present a different derivation of part of the relations (2.31) based on Fredholm determinants.

We first review some aspects of the form factor sum for the Ising correlators[18][19][4]. Define

$$\tau_\pm(z, \bar{z}) = \langle 0 | \mu(z, \bar{z}) \mu(0) | 0 \rangle \pm \langle 0 | \sigma(z, \bar{z}) \sigma(0) | 0 \rangle. \quad (2.32)$$

In the free fermion description, the spectrum consists of a single neutral particle. From the known form factors of the spin and disorder fields, one obtains

$$\tau_\pm(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{\pm 1}{2\pi} \right)^n \int_0^\infty \frac{du_1}{u_1} \cdots \frac{du_n}{u_n} \prod_{i < j} \left(\frac{u_i - u_j}{u_i + u_j} \right)^2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2n} \exp(-mz u_i - m\bar{z} u_i^{-1}) \right). \quad (2.33)$$

The functions $\tau_{\pm}$ may be expressed as a Fredholm determinant for scalar integral operators:

$$\tau_{\pm} = \text{Det}(1 \pm V), \quad (2.34)$$

where V is the kernel

$$V(u, v) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{uv}}{u+v} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{m}{2} (zu + \bar{z}u^{-1}) \right). \quad (2.35)$$

In terms of the functions $\tau_{\pm}$, the relations (2.31) read

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \sigma_{1/2}(z, \bar{z}) \sigma_{1/2}(0) | 0 \rangle &= \tau_+ \tau_- \\ \langle 0 | \sigma_{1/2}(z, \bar{z}) \sigma_{-1/2}(0) | 0 \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} (\tau_+^2 + \tau_-^2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

where we have used the hermiticity relations $\langle \sigma_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha'} \rangle = \langle \sigma_{-\alpha} \sigma_{-\alpha'} \rangle$. There is a simple proof of the first relation in (2.36) based on Fredholm determinants. For the particular correlator $\langle \sigma_{1/2} \sigma_{1/2} \rangle$, from (2.22)(2.23) one finds that $K_{+-} = K_{-+} = V$, so that

$$\text{Det} \left(1 + \mathbf{K}^{(1/2, 1/2)} \right) = \text{Det} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & V \\ V & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \text{Det}(1 + V) \text{Det}(1 - V) = \tau_+ \tau_- \quad (2.37)$$

The second relation in (2.36) is more non-trivial, since it relates a Fredholm determinant to a sum of such determinants. We have not found a simple proof of it, however we have verified it to lowest non-trivial order.

In the next section, we will derive differential equations satisfied by the logarithm of the SG_{ff} correlation functions. For $\langle \sigma_{1/2} \sigma_{1/2} \rangle$, these differential equations can obviously be derived from the known Ising differential equation, since $\log(\tau_+ \tau_-) = \log \tau_+ + \log \tau_-$. However, for $\langle \sigma_{1/2} \sigma_{-1/2} \rangle$, it is not evident how to obtain our result from the known Ising results.

The methods we develop in the next sections can be used to provide a new proof of the known differential equations which govern the Ising correlation functions; this is described in appendix D.

3. Differential Equations for Correlation Functions.

In this section we prove the result stated in the introduction by using the Fredholm determinant expressions for the correlation functions derived in the last section. The method we follow is a generalization of methods developed in [5][6]. As will be clear in

the sequel, the proof does not rely on the detailed properties of the kernel $\mathbf{K}$, such as its α dependence, but rather only on its most salient features.

The z , $\bar{z}$ dependence of all the quantities we will introduce are a consequence of the z , $\bar{z}$ dependence of the functions $e(u)$ and $\hat{e}(u)$. The latter are very simple:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_z e(u) &= -\frac{mu}{2}e(u), & \partial_z \hat{e}(u) &= -\frac{mu}{2}\hat{e}(u) \\ \partial_{\bar{z}} e(u) &= -\frac{m}{2}e'(u), & \partial_{\bar{z}} \hat{e}(u) &= -\frac{m}{2}\hat{e}'(u),\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

where for convenience we have defined:

$$e'(u) \equiv \frac{e(u)}{u}, \quad \hat{e}'(u) \equiv \frac{\hat{e}(u)}{u}.\tag{3.2}$$

Expressions for derivatives of $\mathbf{K}$ follow from eqs. (3.1):

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_z K_{-+}(u, v) &= -\frac{m}{2}e(u) \hat{e}(v), & \partial_z K_{+-}(u, v) &= -\frac{m}{2}\hat{e}(u) e(v) \\ \partial_{\bar{z}} K_{-+}(u, v) &= -\frac{m}{2}e'(u) \hat{e}'(v), & \partial_{\bar{z}} K_{+-}(u, v) &= -\frac{m}{2}\hat{e}'(u) e'(v).\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

Thus, one sees that $\partial_z \mathbf{K}$, $\partial_{\bar{z}} \mathbf{K}$ are projectors.

3.1 The resolvent

We will need the resolvent $\mathbf{R}$ for the kernel $\mathbf{K}$, defined by the matrix equation

$$(1 - \mathbf{R})(1 + \mathbf{K}) = 1.\tag{3.4}$$

As for $\mathbf{K}$, the resolvent $\mathbf{R}$ is a 2×2 matrix of integral operators.

For simplicity, consider first scalar kernels of the following form:

$$V_{(\pm)}(u, v) = \frac{\sum_a e_a(u) e^a(v)}{u \pm v}\tag{3.5}$$

The index $(\pm)$ refers to the nature of the simple pole of $V_{(\pm)}$. Kernels of this type form a group with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ graded multiplication law. Let $[V_{(\pm)}]$ denote the class of kernels of the type $V_{(\pm)}$. Then using the identity

$$\frac{1}{u+w} \frac{1}{w+v} = \frac{1}{u-v} \left(\frac{1}{w+v} - \frac{1}{u+w} \right),\tag{3.6}$$

one has the following multiplication law:

$$[V_{(-)}] \times [V_{(-)}] = [V_{(-)}], \quad [V_{(+)}] \times [V_{(-)}] = [V_{(+)}], \quad [V_{(+)}] \times [V_{(+)}] = [V_{(-)}].\tag{3.7}$$

Scalar kernels are multiplied in the conventional manner:

$$\left(V^{(1)}V^{(2)}\right)(u, v) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dw}{w} V^{(1)}(u, w) V^{(2)}(w, v). \quad (3.8)$$

For example

$$\left(V_{(+)}^{(1)}V_{(+)}^{(2)}\right)(u, v) = \frac{\sum_a e_a^{(1)}(u) \left(e^{a,(1)}V_{(+)}^{(2)}\right)(v) - \left(V_{(+)}^{(1)}e_a^{(2)}\right)(u)e^{a,(2)}(v)}{u - v}. \quad (3.9)$$

This generalizes the study of [5][6], where only kernels of type $V_{(-)}$ were considered, which by themselves form a group. This group property is important since it ensures that the resolvent is also an element of the group. In Appendix D, further properties of these kernels and their resolvents are presented.

Let us return now to the construction of $\mathbf{R}$. For future convenience, consider the slightly more general problem of finding the resolvent $\mathbf{R}_\pm$ for the kernel $\pm\mathbf{K}$:

$$(1 - \mathbf{R}_\pm)(1 \pm \mathbf{K}) = 1, \quad (3.10)$$

where $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}_+$. Expressing

$$\mathbf{R}_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} F & \pm D \\ \pm E & G \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.11)$$

where D, E, F, G are scalar kernels, equation (3.10) is equivalent to the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} F + DK_{+-} &= 0, & K_{-+} &= D + FK_{-+} \\ G + EK_{-+} &= 0, & K_{+-} &= E + GK_{+-}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Due to the fact that $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}^t$, one has $F^t = F$, $D^t = E$, $G^t = G$, where t denotes transpose of matrices and kernels. Since K_{+-} and K_{-+} are of type $[V_{(+)})]$, one deduces that $F, G \in [V_{(-)}]$ and $D, E \in [V_{(+)}]$.

The equations (3.12) can be used to express $\mathbf{R}$ in terms of $\mathbf{K}$. We first state the result then describe how to prove it. Define the functions $\xi^\pm, \widehat{\xi}^\pm$, where

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi^+ \pm \xi^- \\ \widehat{\xi}^+ \pm \widehat{\xi}^- \end{pmatrix} = 2(1 - \mathbf{R}_\pm) \begin{pmatrix} e \\ \widehat{e} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.13)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^+ &= 2(1 - F)e, & \xi^- &= -2D\widehat{e} \\ \widehat{\xi}^+ &= 2(1 - G)\widehat{e}, & \widehat{\xi}^- &= -2Ee. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

The $\xi^\pm, \widehat{\xi}^\pm$ are functions of $z, \bar{z}$, and u . Functions are multiplied by integral operators in the same way as in (3.8), e.g.

$$(Fe)(u) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dv}{v} F(u, v) e(v). \quad (3.15)$$

Then $\mathbf{R}$ can be expressed in terms of these functions:

$$\begin{aligned} D(u, v) &= \frac{\xi^+(u)\widehat{\xi}^+(v) - \xi^-(u)\widehat{\xi}^-(v)}{4(u+v)} \\ E(u, v) &= \frac{\widehat{\xi}^+(u)\xi^+(v) - \widehat{\xi}^-(u)\xi^-(v)}{4(u+v)} \\ F(u, v) &= \frac{\xi^+(u)\xi^-(v) - \xi^-(u)\xi^+(v)}{4(u-v)} \\ G(u, v) &= \frac{\widehat{\xi}^+(u)\widehat{\xi}^-(v) - \widehat{\xi}^-(u)\widehat{\xi}^+(v)}{4(u-v)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

For future reference we will also need

$$\begin{aligned} 2e &= \xi^+ + \widehat{\xi}^- K_{+-}, & 0 &= \xi^- + \widehat{\xi}^+ K_{+-} \\ 2\widehat{e} &= \widehat{\xi}^+ + \xi^- K_{-+}, & 0 &= \widehat{\xi}^- + \xi^+ K_{-+}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

These relations are the component form of the matrix relation

$$\begin{pmatrix} e \\ \widehat{e} \end{pmatrix}^t = \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \mathbf{K}) \begin{pmatrix} \xi^+ \pm \widehat{\xi}^- \\ \widehat{\xi}^+ \pm \xi^- \end{pmatrix} \right)^t, \quad (3.18)$$

the latter being a simple consequence of (3.13).

The proof that the resolvent takes the form indicated in (3.16) is by direct computation. We illustrate this by proving the first relation in (3.12), $F + DK_{+-} = 0$, the other relations being proved similarly. Using the expression for D in (3.16), one obtains

$$(DK_{+-})(u, v) = \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{dw}{w} \left(\frac{1}{u+w} \right) \left(\xi^+(u)\widehat{\xi}^+(w) - \xi^-(u)\widehat{\xi}^-(w) \right) \left(\frac{1}{w+v} \right) \widehat{e}(w) e(v). \quad (3.19)$$

Using now the identity (3.6), this becomes

$$(DK_{+-})(u, v) = \frac{1}{u-v} \left(-(D\widehat{e})(u) e(v) + \frac{1}{4} \xi^+(u) (\widehat{\xi}^+ K_{+-})(v) - \frac{1}{4} \xi^-(u) (\widehat{\xi}^- K_{+-})(v) \right). \quad (3.20)$$

The relations (3.14) and (3.17) can now be used to show that the RHS of (3.20) equals $-F$.

3.2 The potentials

We now have to define certain functions which are essential for the proof, since they are directly related to the derivatives of the logarithm of the correlation functions. Define the “potentials”

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\pm} &= \langle e, \xi^{\pm} \rangle - (1 \mp 1), & \widehat{B}_{\pm} &= \langle \widehat{e}, \widehat{\xi}^{\pm} \rangle - (1 \mp 1) \\ C_{\pm} &= \langle e', \xi^{\pm} \rangle - (1 \mp 1), & \widehat{C}_{\pm} &= \langle \widehat{e}', \widehat{\xi}^{\pm} \rangle - (1 \mp 1) \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the scalar product defined for any functions $a(u)$ and $b(u)$ by

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{du}{u} a(u) b(u). \quad (3.22)$$

The potentials are functions only of $z, \bar{z}$. They are not all independent due to the symmetry properties of $\mathbf{K}$. We have the following algebraic relations :

$$\begin{aligned} B_- &= \widehat{B}_- \\ C_- \widehat{C}_- - C_+ \widehat{C}_+ &= 4 \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

The first relation is easily proved using $D^t = E$:

$$B_- = -2\langle e, D\widehat{e} \rangle = -2\langle e, D\widehat{e} \rangle^t = -2\langle \widehat{e}, Ee \rangle = \widehat{B}_-. \quad (3.24)$$

The second relation is proven in appendix B.

Let $\Sigma(z, \bar{z})$ denote the logarithm of the Fredholm determinant :

$$\Sigma(z, \bar{z}) = \log \text{Det}(1 + \mathbf{K}) \quad (3.25)$$

Using $\log \text{Det}(1 + \mathbf{K}) = \text{Tr} \log(1 + \mathbf{K})$, its derivative with respect to z is expressed in terms of the resolvent :

$$\partial_z \Sigma(z, \bar{z}) = \text{Tr} [(1 - \mathbf{R}) \partial_z \mathbf{K}] \quad (3.26)$$

The trace can easily be computed since $(\partial_z \mathbf{K})$ is a projector. From (3.3), (3.14) one obtains:

$$\partial_z \Sigma(z, \bar{z}) = -\frac{m}{4} (B_- + \widehat{B}_- + 4) = -\frac{m}{2} (B_- + 2) \quad (3.27)$$

To find a differential equation obeyed by Σ , one next has to derive differential equations for the potentials. This will be done in the next sections.

3.3 The auxiliary linear system

Non-linear differential equations for the potentials can be derived by introducing an auxiliary linear system of differential equations. This system is obtained by computing the derivatives of the two dimensional vectors ξ and $\hat{\xi}$ with components $\xi^\pm$ and $\hat{\xi}^\pm$:

$$\xi(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^-(u) \\ \xi^+(u) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\xi}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\xi}^-(u) \\ \hat{\xi}^+(u) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.28)$$

The z , $\bar{z}$ derivatives of these vector functions are computed using their explicit definition in terms of the components D , E , F , G of the resolvent (3.16) and the formula (3.1) for the derivatives of the functions $e(u)$ and $\hat{e}(u)$. One finds that :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_z \xi = A_z \xi \\ \partial_{\bar{z}} \xi = A_{\bar{z}} \xi \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} \partial_z \hat{\xi} = \hat{A}_z \hat{\xi} \\ \partial_{\bar{z}} \hat{\xi} = \hat{A}_{\bar{z}} \hat{\xi} \end{cases} \quad (3.29)$$

with

$$A_z = \frac{m}{2} \begin{pmatrix} u & \hat{B}_+ \\ B_+ & -u \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.30)$$

$$A_{\bar{z}} = \frac{m}{8u} \begin{pmatrix} C_- \hat{C}_- + C_+ \hat{C}_+ & -2C_- \hat{C}_+ \\ 2C_+ \hat{C}_- & -C_- \hat{C}_- - C_+ \hat{C}_+ \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrices $\hat{A}_z$ and $\hat{A}_{\bar{z}}$ have the same expressions as A_z and $A_{\bar{z}}$ but with “hatted” and “unhatted” potentials interchanged. The proof of these equations is given in Appendix C.

3.4 The differential equations

From the linear system (3.29), one can deduce differential equations satisfied by the potentials. The result is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z C_+ &= \frac{m}{2} B_+ C_-, & \partial_z C_- &= \frac{m}{2} \hat{B}_+ C_+ \\ \partial_z \hat{C}_+ &= \frac{m}{2} \hat{B}_+ \hat{C}_-, & \partial_z \hat{C}_- &= \frac{m}{2} B_+ \hat{C}_+ \\ \partial_{\bar{z}} B_+ &= \frac{m}{2} C_+ \hat{C}_-, & \partial_{\bar{z}} \hat{B}_+ &= \frac{m}{2} \hat{C}_+ C_- \\ \partial_{\bar{z}} B_- &= \frac{m}{4} (\hat{C}_+ C_+ + \hat{C}_- C_- - 4) = \frac{m}{2} \hat{C}_+ C_+. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Since all of the equations in (3.31) are proven similarly, we illustrate the proof by example. From the definition of B_+ , one has

$$\partial_{\bar{z}} B_+ = \langle \partial_{\bar{z}} e, \xi^+ \rangle + \langle e, \partial_{\bar{z}} \xi^+ \rangle. \quad (3.32)$$

Substituting (3.1) and $\partial_{\bar{z}}\xi^+$ from (3.29), one finds

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}B_+ = -\frac{m}{2}\langle e', \xi^+ \rangle - \frac{m}{8}(C_+\widehat{C}_+ + C_-\widehat{C}_-)\langle e, \frac{\xi^+}{u} \rangle + \frac{m}{4}C_+\widehat{C}_-\langle e, \frac{\xi^-}{u} \rangle. \quad (3.33)$$

Noting that $\langle e, \xi^\pm/u \rangle = \langle e', \xi^\pm \rangle$, and using the definitions (3.21), one obtains the result in (3.31). Consider next $\partial_z C_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z C_+ &= \langle \partial_z e', \xi^+ \rangle + \langle e', \partial_z \xi^+ \rangle \\ &= -\frac{m}{2}\langle e, \xi^+ \rangle + \frac{m}{2}B_+\langle e', \xi^- \rangle - \frac{m}{2}\langle e', u\xi^+ \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

Noting $\langle e', u\xi^+ \rangle = \langle e, \xi^+ \rangle$, one obtains the desired result.

One can also find differential equations for the potentials from the zero-curvature condition for the connection $A_z, A_{\bar{z}}$:

$$[\partial_z + A_z, \partial_{\bar{z}} + A_{\bar{z}}] = 0, \quad (3.35)$$

and similiary for the connection $\widehat{A}_z, \widehat{A}_{\bar{z}}$. These zero curvature conditions yield a set of differential equations which is in fact weaker than, but compatible with, the set of equations (3.31). Since we will not need the equations which follow from the zero-curvature condition, we do not present the details.

The last equation in (3.31), along with (3.27), can be used to express the derivatives of the logarithm of the correlation functions only in terms of the C potentials:

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z \Sigma(z, \bar{z}) = -\frac{m^2}{4}\widehat{C}_+C_+. \quad (3.36)$$

Notice that the B potentials can be eliminated from eqs. (3.31). This leads to differential equations involving only the C potentials :

$$\begin{aligned} C_-\partial_z\widehat{C}_- &= \widehat{C}_+\partial_zC_+ \quad , \quad C_+\partial_z\widehat{C}_+ = \widehat{C}_-\partial_zC_- \\ C_+(\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}C_-) - (\partial_zC_-)(\partial_{\bar{z}}C_+) &= \frac{m^2}{4}C_-C_+(C_+\widehat{C}_+) \\ C_-(\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}C_+) - (\partial_zC_+)(\partial_{\bar{z}}C_-) &= \frac{m^2}{4}C_-C_+(C_-\widehat{C}_-) \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

Two additional equations corresponding to interchanging $C_\pm$ with $\widehat{C}_\pm$ are derived similarly. These equations are similar but not identical to the Hirota equation for the $\widehat{sl}_2$ affine hierarchy.

In order to solve (3.31) and (3.37), we introduce a parameterization of the $C_{\pm}, \widehat{C}_{\pm}$ potentials which solves the algebraic constraint (3.23) :

$$\begin{aligned} C_- &= 2e^a \cosh \varphi, & C_+ &= 2e^b \sinh \varphi \\ \widehat{C}_- &= 2e^{-a} \cosh \varphi, & \widehat{C}_+ &= 2e^{-b} \sinh \varphi \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

with a , b and φ auxiliary functions, depending on z , $\bar{z}$. Inserting this parameterization (3.38) into the differential equations gives the following. The first two equations in (3.37) give

$$\partial_z a = -\tanh^2 \varphi \partial_z b. \quad (3.39)$$

Using this equation and its $\partial_{\bar{z}}$ derivative the second 2 equations can be simplified to

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} a) \coth \varphi - (\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} b) \tanh \varphi - 2\partial_z \varphi \partial_{\bar{z}}(b-a) &= 0 \\ \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \varphi &= \frac{m^2}{2} \sinh 2\varphi - \tanh \varphi \partial_z b \partial_{\bar{z}}(b-a). \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

The function b can be deduced using Lorentz invariance. Let $z = re^{i\theta}/2$, $\bar{z} = re^{-i\theta}/2$, and consider shifts of θ by γ . The functions $e, \widehat{e}$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} e(e^{i\gamma} z, e^{-i\gamma} \bar{z}, u) &= e^{-i\gamma(1+\alpha'-\alpha)/2} e(z, \bar{z}, e^{i\gamma} u) \\ \widehat{e}(e^{i\gamma} z, e^{-i\gamma} \bar{z}, u) &= e^{-i\gamma(1+\alpha-\alpha')/2} e(z, \bar{z}, e^{i\gamma} u). \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

From the definition (3.21) of $C_+, \widehat{C}_+$, and making the change of variables $u \rightarrow e^{-i\gamma} u$, one finds

$$C_+ = e^{2i(\alpha-\alpha')\theta} f(r), \quad \widehat{C}_+ = e^{-2i(\alpha-\alpha')\theta} \widehat{f}(r), \quad (3.42)$$

for some scalar functions $f, \widehat{f}$. Then, using

$$e(z, \bar{z}, u) = u \widehat{e}(\bar{z}, z, 1/u), \quad (3.43)$$

one can show $f = \widehat{f}$ by making the change of variables $u \rightarrow 1/u$. Thus,

$$e^{2b} = \frac{C_+}{\widehat{C}_+} = e^{4i(\alpha-\alpha')\theta}, \quad (3.44)$$

and

$$b = (\alpha - \alpha') \log \left(\frac{z}{\bar{z}} \right). \quad (3.45)$$

Inserting this b into (3.39) and taking the complex conjugate, one deduces

$$\partial_{\bar{z}} a = \tanh^2 \varphi \partial_{\bar{z}} b. \quad (3.46)$$

The function φ is only a function of r . Thus (3.40) can be written as

$$\left(\partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r}\partial_r\right)\varphi = \frac{m^2}{2}\sinh 2\varphi + \frac{4(\alpha - \alpha')^2}{r^2}\tanh\varphi(1 - \tanh^2\varphi). \quad (3.47)$$

Finally, using the equation (3.36), and also (3.38), one obtains

$$\left(\partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r}\partial_r\right)\Sigma(r) = -m^2 \sinh^2\varphi = \frac{m^2}{2}(1 - \cosh 2\varphi). \quad (3.48)$$

This is the result announced in the introduction. Notice that $\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}\Sigma$ is only parameterized by a single function $\varphi(r)$, and the differential equation for φ involves only φ itself.

4. Concluding Remarks

Though the proof is somewhat lengthy, the main result stated in the introduction is strikingly simple. What about away from the free fermion point? Certainly, our derivation relies essentially on the fact that the particles in the form factor sum are free. This fact is ultimately responsible for the properties of the form factors that allowed us to express the form factor sum as a Fredholm determinant. Nevertheless, the simplicity of the final result suggests it is worthwhile to investigate whether other derivations of it are possible that make no reference to the free fermions. For instance, the SG_{ff} theory possesses an exact $\widehat{sl(2)}$ affine symmetry[15], which is known to become q deformed away from the free fermion point[20]. It would be very interesting to be able to derive the result presented in this paper solely from the $\widehat{sl(2)}$ symmetry, as such a derivation may be amenable to q -deformation.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank O. Babelon, R. Konik, V. Korepin, and N. Slavnov for discussions. We are also grateful to the Aspen Center for Physics for the opportunity to begin this work. A.L. is supported by an Alfred. P. Sloan Foundation Fellowship, and the National Science Foundation in part through the National Young Investigator program.

Appendix A. Form factors from monodromy.

Here we describe how the form factors in section 2 can be derived from braiding relations with the fermions. As in the ising case[3], the braiding relations induce a Bogoliubov transformation on the creation-annihilation operators in momentum space. The field, which is the unitary operator implementing this transformation, is therefore the exponential of a bilinear form in the fermions.

Let $\Psi_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\psi}_{\pm} \\ \psi_{\pm} \end{pmatrix}$ be the Dirac field satisfying the Dirac equation:

$$\partial_z \bar{\psi}_{\pm} = im\psi_{\pm}, \quad \partial_{\bar{z}} \psi_{\pm} = -im\bar{\psi}_{\pm} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Its mode decomposition in momentum space is:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\pm}(x, t) &= \pm\sqrt{m} \int d\theta e^{\theta/2} \left(C_{\pm}(\theta) e^{-ip \cdot x} - C_{\mp}^{\dagger}(\theta) e^{ip \cdot x} \right) \\ \bar{\psi}_{\pm}(x, t) &= \mp i\sqrt{m} \int d\theta e^{-\theta/2} \left(C_{\pm}(\theta) e^{-ip \cdot x} + C_{\mp}^{\dagger}(\theta) e^{ip \cdot x} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

with $p \cdot x = m(x \sinh \theta - t \cosh \theta)$.

Let $\sigma_{\alpha} =: \exp(i\alpha\Phi(0, 0))$:. This field is non-local with respect to the fermions, and therefore has non-trivial equal time braiding relations with them:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\alpha} \Psi_{\pm}(y) \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1} &= \Psi_{\pm}(y), & \text{for } y < 0 \\ \sigma_{\alpha} \Psi_{\pm}(y) \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1} &= e^{\pm i2\pi\alpha} \Psi_{\pm}(y), & \text{for } y > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Here, the fermions $\Psi_{\pm}(y)$ are at $t = 0$.

Equation (A.3) induces a Bogoliubov transformation on the $C_{\pm}(\theta)$'s and $C_{\pm}^{\dagger}(\theta)$'s. To describe this transformation, one has to Fourier transform $\sigma_{\alpha} \Psi_{\pm}(y) \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1}$. Decomposing it into the sum of two integrals, one from $-\infty$ to zero, the other from zero to $+\infty$, and using the braiding relation (A.3), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dy}{2\pi} e^{iky} (\sigma_{\alpha} \Psi_{\pm}(y) \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1}) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dy}{2\pi} e^{iky} \Psi_{\pm}(y) + (e^{\pm i2\pi\alpha} - 1) \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dy}{2\pi} e^{iky} \Psi_{\pm}(y) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Parametrizing k as $k = m \sinh \beta$, the integrals are easily done. We find:

$$(\sigma_\alpha C_\pm(\beta) \sigma_\alpha^{-1}) = C_\pm(\beta) + \left(\frac{1 - e^{\pm i 2\pi \alpha}}{4i\pi} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\theta \left[\frac{C_\pm(\theta)}{\sinh(\frac{\beta - \theta + i\varepsilon}{2})} - \frac{C_\mp^\dagger(\theta)}{\cosh(\frac{\beta - \theta}{2})} \right] \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Here $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. A similar relation holds for $C_\pm^\dagger(\beta)$ since σ_α is a unitary operator.

Equation (A.5) is a linear transformation of the creation-annihilation operators which preserves their commutation relations, since it is defined by conjugation. Therefore it is a Bogoliubov transformation. We need a few basic facts about these transformations, cf. [21]. Let C_i and $C_i^\dagger$ be fermionic operators with $\{C_i, C_j^\dagger\} = \delta_{ij}$. Consider the linear transformation $C_i \rightarrow D_i$ specified by:

$$\begin{aligned} D_i &= \sum_j \left(C_j \mathbf{X}_{ji} + C_j^\dagger \mathbf{Y}_{ji} \right) \\ D_i^\dagger &= \sum_j \left(C_j^\dagger \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{ji} + C_j \bar{\mathbf{Y}}_{ji} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

This is a canonical transformation if the operators D_i and $D_i^\dagger$ also satisfy the fermionic relations $\{D_i, D_j^\dagger\} = \delta_{ij}$. This amounts to a few constraints on the matrices $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$. The unitary operator U which implements this transformation, i.e. $D_i = U C_i U^{-1}$, is given by:

$$U = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} : \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \left(C_j^\dagger \mathbf{F}^c_{ji} C_i^\dagger + 2 C_j^\dagger \mathbf{R}_{ji} C_i + C_j \mathbf{F}_{ji} C_i \right) \right) : \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where $\mathcal{N} = [\text{Det}(\mathbf{X}^\dagger \mathbf{X})]^{-1/4}$. The unitary operator (A.7) exists whenever this normalisation constant is finite. In eq. (A.7), the matrices $\mathbf{F}^c$, $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ are defined by:

$$\mathbf{F}^c = \mathbf{Y}(1 + \mathbf{R}), \quad \mathbf{X}(1 + \mathbf{R}) = 1, \quad \mathbf{F} = (1 + \mathbf{R})\bar{\mathbf{Y}} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

These matrices are the two-particle form factors of the operator U , e.g.

$$\langle U C_j^\dagger C_i^\dagger \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \mathbf{F}_{ij} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Therefore, the operator U is completely characterized by its two-particle form factors.

In our case, the fermion operators $C_\nu(\theta)$ have a discrete index $\nu = \pm$ and a continuous index θ taking values from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. The matrices $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ are therefore kernels. From eq. (A.5), we deduce that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}^{\nu, \nu'}(\theta, \theta') &= \delta^{\nu, \nu'} (\delta(\theta - \theta') + V_\nu(\theta, \theta')) \\ \mathbf{Y}^{\nu, \nu'}(\theta, \theta') &= \delta^{\nu, -\nu'} Y_\nu(\theta, \theta') \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} V_\nu(\theta, \theta') &= \left(\frac{e^{i\nu 2\pi\alpha} - 1}{4\pi i} \right) \frac{1}{\sinh(\frac{\theta - \theta' - i\varepsilon}{2})} \\ Y_\nu(\theta, \theta') &= \left(\frac{e^{-i\nu 2\pi\alpha} - 1}{4\pi i} \right) \frac{1}{\cosh(\frac{\theta - \theta'}{2})} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

To find the expression of the “spin” field σ_α , we first have to find the resolvent $\mathbf{R}$. It is of the form

$$\mathbf{R}^{\nu, \nu'}(\theta, \theta') = \delta^{\nu, \nu'} R_\nu(\theta, \theta') \quad (\text{A.12})$$

The kernel R_ν is the resolvent of V_ν :

$$(1 + V_\nu)(1 + R_\nu) = 1 \quad (\text{A.13})$$

We claim that a solution to (A.13) is :

$$R_\nu(\theta, \theta') = \left(\frac{1 - e^{i\nu 2\pi\alpha}}{4\pi i} \right) \frac{e^{-\nu(\theta - \theta')}}{\sinh(\frac{\theta - \theta' + i\varepsilon}{2})} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

To prove this, we have to compute the product $(V_\nu R_\nu)$:

$$(V_\nu R_\nu)(\theta, \theta') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\beta V_\nu(\theta, \beta) R_\nu(\beta, \theta'). \quad (\text{A.15})$$

This involves an integral over the intermediate rapidity β from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. Since the function to integrate is $(i2\pi)$ -periodic in β up to a phase, which is equal to $e^{-i\nu 2\pi\alpha}$, we can compute its integral by considering the contour in the β complex plane to be the sum of 2 contours, one along the real axis at $\text{Im}(\beta) = 0$, the other along the line $\text{Im}(\beta) = -2\pi i$. The integral is then given by the residue theorem; the poles, inside the contour, which are all simple poles, are at $\beta = \theta - i\varepsilon$ and at $\beta = \theta' - i\varepsilon$. Suming the residue contributions gives:

$$V_\nu R_\nu + V_\nu + R_\nu = 0 \quad (\text{A.16})$$

as claimed.

The matrix kernel $\mathbf{F}$ has the following form:

$$\mathbf{F}^{\nu, \nu'}(\theta, \theta') = \delta^{\nu, \nu'} F_\nu(\theta, \theta') \quad (\text{A.17})$$

with $F_\nu = (1 + R_\nu)\bar{Y}_\nu$. They are computed in a similar way using contour integrals. We find

$$F_\nu(\theta, \theta') = \nu \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{2i\pi} \frac{e^{-\nu(\theta - \theta')}}{\cosh(\frac{\theta - \theta'}{2})} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

This coincides with the two particle form factors given in the text. The crossed form factors F^c are derived in the same way.

Appendix B. Relations between the potentials.

Let the functions $e', \widehat{e}'$ of $z, \bar{z}$ and u be as defined in (3.1). Analogously to (3.14), define additional vector functions $\zeta^\pm, \widehat{\zeta}^\pm$ of $z, \bar{z}$ and u as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^+ &= 2(1-F)e', & \zeta^- &= -2D\widehat{e}' \\ \widehat{\zeta}^+ &= 2(1-G)\widehat{e}', & \widehat{\zeta}^- &= -2Ee'.\end{aligned}\tag{B.1}$$

These functions can be linearly related to the $\xi^\pm, \widehat{\xi}^\pm$ functions, the coefficients being the potentials $C_\pm, \widehat{C}_\pm$. The result is

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^-(u) &= \frac{1}{2u} \left(\widehat{C}_- \xi^-(u) - \widehat{C}_+ \xi^+(u) \right) \\ \zeta^+(u) &= \frac{1}{2u} \left(-C_- \xi^+(u) + C_+ \xi^-(u) \right) \\ \widehat{\zeta}^-(u) &= \frac{1}{2u} \left(C_- \widehat{\xi}^-(u) - C_+ \widehat{\xi}^+(u) \right) \\ \widehat{\zeta}^+(u) &= \frac{1}{2u} \left(-\widehat{C}_- \widehat{\xi}^+(u) + \widehat{C}_+ \widehat{\xi}^-(u) \right).\end{aligned}\tag{B.2}$$

All of the above relations are proven similarly, so we illustrate the technique with one of them. From the definition (B.1), and the explicit form of D in (3.16), one has

$$\zeta^-(u) = -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dw}{w} \left(\frac{1}{u+w} \frac{1}{w} \right) \left(\xi^+(u) \widehat{\xi}^+(w) - \xi^-(u) \widehat{\xi}^-(w) \right) \widehat{e}(w).\tag{B.3}$$

Using the relation (3.6) with $v = 0$,

$$\zeta^-(u) = \frac{1}{2u} \left(4(D\widehat{e})(u) + \xi^-(u)(\widehat{C}_- + 2) - \xi^+(u)\widehat{C}_+ \right).\tag{B.4}$$

Finally, using the expression for $D\widehat{e}$ from (3.14), one obtains the first equation in (B.2).

The relations (B.2) can be used to prove the constraint (3.23) among the C -potentials. Namely, using the definition (B.1) for ζ^- , and the expression (B.2) for ζ^- in terms of $\xi^\pm$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}2\langle e, D\widehat{e}' \rangle &= -\langle e, \frac{1}{2u} (\widehat{C}_- \xi^- - \widehat{C}_+ \xi^+) \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\widehat{C}_- \langle e', \xi^- \rangle - \widehat{C}_+ \langle e', \xi^+ \rangle \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\widehat{C}_- (C_- + 2) - \widehat{C}_+ C_+ \right).\end{aligned}\tag{B.5}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}2\langle e, D\widehat{e}' \rangle &= 2\langle e, D\widehat{e}' \rangle^t = 2\langle \widehat{e}', Ee \rangle \\ &= -(\widehat{C}_- + 2).\end{aligned}\tag{B.6}$$

Comparing (B.5) and (B.6), one obtains the constraint (3.23).

Appendix C. Proof of the linear system.

In this appendix, we prove the equations (3.29), (3.30). Multiplying (3.13) by $1 \pm \mathbf{K}$, one obtains

$$2 \begin{pmatrix} e \\ \widehat{e} \end{pmatrix} = (1 \pm \mathbf{K}) \begin{pmatrix} \xi^+ \pm \xi^- \\ \widehat{\xi}^+ \pm \widehat{\xi}^- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{C.1})$$

Taking the z -derivative of this equation, then multiplying on the left by $1 - \mathbf{R}_\pm$, leads to

$$\partial_z \begin{pmatrix} \xi^+ \pm \xi^- \\ \widehat{\xi}^+ \pm \widehat{\xi}^- \end{pmatrix} = 2(1 - \mathbf{R}_\pm) \begin{pmatrix} \partial_z e \\ \partial_z \widehat{e} \end{pmatrix} \mp (1 - \mathbf{R}_\pm) \partial_z \mathbf{K} \begin{pmatrix} \xi^+ \pm \xi^- \\ \widehat{\xi}^+ \pm \widehat{\xi}^- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{C.2})$$

From (3.3) and (3.14), one has

$$((1 - \mathbf{R}_\pm) \partial_z \mathbf{K})(u, v) = -\frac{m}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \pm \xi^-(u) e(v) & \xi^+(u) \widehat{e}(v) \\ \widehat{\xi}^+(u) e(v) & \pm \widehat{\xi}^-(u) \widehat{e}(v) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{C.3})$$

Performing a simple multiplication gives

$$(1 - \mathbf{R}_\pm) \partial_z \mathbf{K} \begin{pmatrix} \xi^+ \pm \xi^- \\ \widehat{\xi}^+ \pm \widehat{\xi}^- \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{m}{4} (B_+ \pm B_- \pm 2) \begin{pmatrix} \pm \xi^- \\ \widehat{\xi}^+ \end{pmatrix} - \frac{m}{4} (\widehat{B}_+ \pm \widehat{B}_- \pm 2) \begin{pmatrix} \xi^+ \\ \pm \widehat{\xi}^- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{C.4})$$

The other term on the RHS of (C.2) may be simplified to

$$(1 - \mathbf{R}_\pm) \begin{pmatrix} \partial_z e \\ \partial_z \widehat{e} \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{m}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (1 - F)(ue) \mp D(u\widehat{e}) \\ \mp E(ue) + (1 - G)(u\widehat{e}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{C.5})$$

Expressions of this kind can all be computed similarly. This requires commuting the multiplication by u and the operators E , D , F , G . Consider for example

$$\begin{aligned} (D(u\widehat{e}))(v) &= \int \frac{dw}{w} D(v, w)(w + v - v)\widehat{e}(w) \\ &= -v(D\widehat{e})(v) + \int \frac{dw}{w} (w + v)D(v, w)\widehat{e}(w). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.6})$$

Inserting the explicit expression (3.16) for D in the second term, we obtain

$$(D(u\widehat{e}))(v) = \frac{v}{2} \xi^-(v) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\xi^+(v) \widehat{B}_+ - \xi^-(v) (\widehat{B}_- + 2) \right). \quad (\text{C.7})$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (E(ue))(v) &= \frac{v}{2} \widehat{\xi}^-(v) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\widehat{\xi}^+(v) B_+ - \widehat{\xi}^-(v) (B_- + 2) \right) \\ ((1 - F)(ue))(v) &= \frac{v}{2} \xi^+(v) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\xi^+(v) (B_- + 2) - \xi^-(v) B_+ \right) \\ ((1 - G)(u\widehat{e}))(v) &= \frac{v}{2} \widehat{\xi}^+(v) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\widehat{\xi}^+(v) (\widehat{B}_- + 2) - \widehat{\xi}^-(v) \widehat{B}_+ \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.8})$$

Collecting all terms on the RHS of (C.2), and solving for $\partial_z \xi^\pm$, $\partial_z \hat{\xi}^\pm$ yields the ∂_z part of the result (3.29).

Consider now the $\bar{z}$ derivatives. By the same reasoning as above, we begin with (C.2) but with ∂_z replaced with $\partial_{\bar{z}}$. One needs

$$(1 - \mathbf{R}_\pm) \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\bar{z}} e \\ \partial_{\bar{z}} \hat{e} \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{m}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^+ \pm \zeta^- \\ \pm \hat{\zeta}^- + \hat{\zeta}^+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{C.9})$$

where we have used the definition (B.1). As in (C.4), one also has

$$(1 - \mathbf{R}_\pm) \partial_{\bar{z}} \mathbf{K} \begin{pmatrix} \xi^+ \pm \xi^- \\ \hat{\xi}^+ \pm \hat{\xi}^- \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{m}{4} (C_+ \pm C_- \pm 2) \begin{pmatrix} \pm \zeta^- \\ \hat{\zeta}^+ \end{pmatrix} - \frac{m}{4} (\hat{C}_+ \pm \hat{C}_- \pm 2) \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^+ \\ \pm \hat{\zeta}^- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{C.10})$$

Putting all of this together, one finds that the result simplifies greatly:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{z}} \xi^\pm(u) &= \frac{m}{4} \left(C_\pm \zeta^\mp(u) + \hat{C}_\mp \zeta^\pm(u) \right) \\ \partial_{\bar{z}} \hat{\xi}^\pm(u) &= \frac{m}{4} \left(\hat{C}_\pm \hat{\zeta}^\mp(u) + C_\mp \hat{\zeta}^\pm(u) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.11})$$

Finally, using the expressions (B.2) for $\zeta^\pm, \hat{\zeta}^\pm$ in terms of $\xi^\pm, \hat{\xi}^\pm$, one obtains the rest of (3.29), (3.30).

Appendix D. The Ising case.

In this appendix, we provide a new proof of the differential equation for the Ising correlation functions (2.32), and give more details concerning the properties of the kernels of the type (3.5). These correlators are expressed as the Fredholm determinant for the scalar kernel (2.35) which is in the class of integral operators (3.5). Rather than repeat what was done above for the sine-Gordon theory, we present the proof in the case where the kernel is replaced by a finite dimensional matrix. More specifically, we first cutoff the integrals by replacing $\int_0^\infty du$ with $\int_0^\Lambda du$ for Λ finite. Consider then discretizing the u variables into N intervals, where in each interval u takes the value u_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$:

$$\int_0^\Lambda du f(u) \rightarrow \Delta u \sum_{i=1}^N f(u_i), \quad (\text{D.1})$$

where $u_n = n\Delta u$, and $N\Delta u = \Lambda$. The integral operators arise in the continuum limit where $N \rightarrow \infty$, $\Delta u \rightarrow 0$, with $N\Delta u$ kept fixed; indeed, this is the way in which the Fredholm theory is usually developed. In the end, we take Λ to infinity. We do this in

order to illustrate a basic point: the above proofs do not rely on being in the continuum limit but are actually valid for finite N . Of course, all of the proofs below can be done also in the continuous case as was done above for sine-Gordon.

Therefore, we consider two tau functions,

$$\tau_{\pm} = \det(1 \pm V), \quad (\text{D.2})$$

where V is an $N \times N$ matrix with entries,

$$V_{ij} = \frac{e_i e_j}{u_i + u_j} \quad (\text{D.3})$$

with (u_i) a set of complex numbers. The (e_i) are functions depending on the parameters u_i as well as on the coordinates $(z, \bar{z})$. As explained above, the actual continuum Fredholm determinant (2.33) is obtained by letting N go to infinity, identifying the u_i as a discretization of the continuum variable u , and replacing the infinite sums with integrals. We assume that the $(z, \bar{z})$ dependence of V comes only from the functions e_i through the following formula :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z e_i &= -\frac{m u_i}{2} e_i, \\ \partial_{\bar{z}} e_i &= -\frac{m}{2 u_i} e_i. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.4})$$

It is well known that this implies that $\tau_{\pm}$ are the tau functions of the N soliton solutions of the classical sine-Gordon equations. This is the result that we will rederive using our technique. The method is similar to the case described in the main text but all the computations are actually simpler since the kernel is a scalar. The key point is the group property (3.7) with the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ graded multiplication law.

We first need the resolvent $R_{\pm}$ of the matrix $(\pm V)$:

$$(1 - R_{\pm})(1 \pm V) = 1. \quad (\text{D.5})$$

Similarly to the integral operators (3.5), the matrices of the form

$$(V_{(\pm)})_{ij} = \frac{\sum_a e_i^a e_{a,j}}{u_i \pm u_j} \quad (\text{D.6})$$

form a group with the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ graded multiplication law sketched in eq. (3.7). Therefore, the resolvent is an element of the group. From the equation (D.5), it is clear that $R_{\pm}$ has components of both type $[V_{(\pm)}]$. Namely, we have

$$R_{\pm} = H \pm F \quad (\text{D.7})$$

where H is matrix of type $[V_{(-)}]$ and F a matrix of type $[V_{(+)}]$. Since the matrix (D.3) is of type $[V_{(+)}]$, eq. (D.5) is equivalent to :

$$VF + H = 0, \quad VH + F = V. \quad (\text{D.8})$$

These equations can be solved, giving the following expression for the matrices F and H :

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ij} &= \frac{f_i^- f_j^+ - f_i^+ f_j^-}{2(u_i - u_j)} \\ F_{ij} &= \frac{f_i^+ f_j^- + f_i^- f_j^+}{2(u_i + u_j)} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.9})$$

with

$$f_i^\pm = [(1 - R_\pm)e]_i. \quad (\text{D.10})$$

The proof consists in checking directly the relations (D.8) using (D.10).

Besides the vector (e_i) and $(f_i^\pm)$, we also define vectors (e'_i) and $(f'^\pm_i)$ by :

$$f'^\pm_i = [(1 - R_\pm)e']_i, \quad e'_i = \frac{e_i}{u_i}. \quad (\text{D.11})$$

We need to introduce the “potentials”. They are defined by :

$$P_\pm = \langle e, f^\pm \rangle, \quad Q_\pm = \langle e', f^\pm \rangle, \quad (\text{D.12})$$

where the scalar product of two vectors with components (a_i) and (b_i) is :

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_i a_i b_i. \quad (\text{D.13})$$

The $f'^\pm_i$ and $f_i^\pm$ are not independent, but are related by the potentials. We have :

$$f'^\pm_i = \frac{1}{u_i} (1 \mp Q_\pm) f_i^\mp. \quad (\text{D.14})$$

The proof goes as follows. By the definition (D.11) we have :

$$f'^\pm_i = \frac{e_i}{u_i} - [(H \pm F)e']_i. \quad (\text{D.15})$$

Then, using the formula (D.9) for H and F , we deduce that :

$$\begin{aligned} (He')_i &= \frac{1}{2u_i} (f^- Q_+ - f^+ Q_-) + \frac{1}{u_i} (He)_i \\ (Fe')_i &= \frac{1}{2u_i} (f^- Q_+ + f^+ Q_-) - \frac{1}{u_i} (Fe)_i. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.16})$$

Substituting the latter into (D.15) proves (D.14).

The relation (D.14) implies that the potentials $Q_{\pm}$ are not independent. Indeed, taking the scalar product of $f'^{\pm}$ with (e_i) and using $\langle e, f'^{\pm} \rangle = \langle e', f^{\pm} \rangle = Q_{\pm}$, we obtain :

$$(1 - Q_+)(1 + Q_-) = 1. \quad (\text{D.17})$$

The derivatives of the logarithm of the tau functions (D.2) are given by the potentials. Using $\partial_z \log \tau_{\pm} = \pm \text{tr} [(1 - R_{\pm}) \partial_z V]$ and (D.4), we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z \log \tau_{\pm} &= \mp \frac{m}{2} \langle e, f^{\pm} \rangle \\ \partial_{\bar{z}} \log \tau_{\pm} &= \mp \frac{m}{2} \langle e', f'^{\pm} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.18})$$

The next step consists in finding the auxiliary linear system. This is a system of linear differential equations for the N two-dimensional vectors $\begin{pmatrix} f_i^+ \\ f_i^- \end{pmatrix}$. Let us first describe the result and then give the proof. The system is :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z \begin{pmatrix} f_i^+ \\ f_i^- \end{pmatrix} &= -\frac{m}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -P^+ - P^- & u_i \\ u_i & P^+ + P^- \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_i^+ \\ f_i^- \end{pmatrix} \\ \partial_{\bar{z}} \begin{pmatrix} f_i^+ \\ f_i^- \end{pmatrix} &= -\frac{m}{2u_i} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (1 - Q^+)^2 \\ (1 + Q^-)^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_i^+ \\ f_i^- \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.19})$$

Let us first prove the ∂_z equations. Taking the derivative of (D.10) and using the expression (D.9) for the resolvent, we obtain :

$$\partial_z f_i^{\pm} = \pm \frac{m}{2} P_{\pm} f_i^{\pm} - \frac{m}{2} [(1 - R_{\pm})(ue)]_i. \quad (\text{D.20})$$

Now, the last term in (D.20) can be simplified using (D.9) :

$$[(1 - R_{\pm})(ue)]_i = \mp f_i^{\pm} P_{\mp} + u_i [(1 - R_{\mp})e]_i. \quad (\text{D.21})$$

Inserting this relation into (D.20) gives the ∂_z part of (D.19). The $\partial_{\bar{z}}$ equations follow from :

$$\partial_{\bar{z}} f_i^{\pm} = -\frac{m}{2} (1 \mp Q_{\pm}) f_i^{\pm}, \quad (\text{D.22})$$

which is a direct consequence of (D.4) and of the definition (D.10). Expressing $(f'_i)^{\pm}$ via equation (D.14) gives the $\partial_{\bar{z}}$ part of (D.19).

We now relate the potentials $P_{\pm}$ to the $Q_{\pm}$. The ∂_z derivative of $Q_{\pm}$ is :

$$\partial_z Q_{\pm} = \langle \partial_z e', f^{\pm} \rangle + \langle e', \partial_z f^{\pm} \rangle. \quad (\text{D.23})$$

Using (D.4) and the linear system (D.19), we obtain :

$$\partial_z Q_{\pm} = -\frac{m}{2} (1 \mp Q_{\pm}) (P_+ + P_-). \quad (\text{D.24})$$

Solving the algebraic relation (D.17) by parametrizing the potentials $Q_{\pm}$ by :

$$1 \mp Q_{\pm} = \exp(\mp \varphi), \quad (\text{D.25})$$

for some auxiliary function φ , we deduce that :

$$\frac{m}{2} (P_+ + P_-) = -\partial_z \varphi. \quad (\text{D.26})$$

Inserting this parametrization in (D.19), one recognizes the Lax pair of the sinh-Gordon model. Therefore, its zero curvature condition gives :

$$\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \varphi = \frac{m^2}{2} \sinh 2\varphi. \quad (\text{D.27})$$

The last step consists now in relating the tau functions (D.2) to the function φ . Using (D.18), one finds that :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \log(\tau_+ \tau_-) &= \frac{m^2}{2} (1 - \cosh 2\varphi) \\ \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \log\left(\frac{\tau_+}{\tau_-}\right) &= \frac{m^2}{2} \sinh 2\varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.28})$$

These are the equations of motion of the affine $\widehat{sl}_2$ Toda theory [22].

Note that since the Ising correlators are $\tau_{\pm}$ themselves rather than their product and quotient, the above parametrization of the Ising correlators is somewhat awkward in comparison with the sine-Gordon case. This suggests that the differential equations perhaps have a more natural explanation in the sine-Gordon case.

References

- [1] H. Widom, *An Integral Operator Solution to the Matrix Toda Equations*, solv-int 9702007.
- [2] T. T. Wu, B. M. McCoy, C. A. Tracy, and E. Barouch, Phys. Rev. B13 (1976) 316.
- [3] M. Sato, M. Jimbo, and M. Miwa, Lecture Notes in Phys. vol. 126, Springer 1980, p. 429-491
- [4] O. Babelon and D. Bernard, Phys. Lett. B288 (1992) 113.
- [5] A. R. Its, A. G. Izergin, V. E. Korepin and N. A. Slavnov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B4 (1990) 1003.
- [6] V. E. Korepin, N. M. Bogoliubov, and A. G. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993.
- [7] H. Itoyama, V. E. Korepin, and H. B. Thacker, Mod. Phys. Lett B6 (1992) 1405.
- [8] M. Sato, T. Miwa and M. Jimbo, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 15 (1979) 871.
- [9] M. Sato, T. Miwa and M. Jimbo, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 15 (1979) 577.
- [10] S. Coleman, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 2088.
- [11] M. Jimbo, Progr. Theor. Phys. 61 (1979) 359.
- [12] J. B. Zuber and C. Itzykson, Phys. Rev. D 15 (1977) 2875.
- [13] B. Schroer and T. T. Truong, Nucl. Phys. B144 (1978) 80.
- [14] F. A. Smirnov, *Form Factors in Completely Integrable Models of Quantum Field Theory*, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics 14, World Scientific, 1992.
- [15] A. LeClair, *Spectrum Generating Affine Lie Algebras in Massive Field Theory*, CLNS 93/1220, hep-th/9305110, to appear in Nucl. Phys. B; C. Efthimiou and A. LeClair, *Particle-Field Duality and Form Factors from Vertex Operators*, CLNS 93/1263, hep-th/9312121.
- [16] D. Bernard and A. LeClair, Nucl. Phys. B399 (1993) 709.
- [17] P. Ginsparg, Les Houches Lectures 1988, in *Fields, Strings, and Critical Phenomena*, E. Brézin and J. Zinn-Justin Eds., North Holland (1990).
- [18] J. L. Cardy and G. Mussardo, Nucl. Phys. B340 (1990) 387.
- [19] V. P. Yurov and Al. B. Zamolodchikov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A6 (1991) 3419.
- [20] D. Bernard and A. LeClair, Commun. Math. Phys. 142 (1991) 99.
- [21] F. A. Berezin, *Method of Secondary Quantization*, Nauka, Moscow, 1965.
- [22] O. Babelon and L. Bonora, Phys. Lett. B244 (1990) 220.